Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/802,925	FILIPPI, ERMANNO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	N. Bhat	1797
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>N. Bhat</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>M. Raucci</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 July 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1 and 9		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The examiner suggested to applicant's representative to delete "endothermic" and insertreforming as this method as described in the specification is to generating a heating fluid which is used in reforming reactions by using some the heat which is generated while combusting and reforming and optimization of streams in order to expedite prosecution. Claim 9 improperly depends from itself, the examiner's amendment corrects dependency to depend from claim 7.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)